A facile method for the preparation and cleavage of 1,1-diacetates of aldehydes

Gowravaram Sabitha*, Sunny Abraham, T. Ramalingam and J. S. Yadav

Organic Chemistry Division- I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad-500 007, India

A convenient method for the preparation and cleavage of 1,1-diacetates of aldehydes is reported using AICl₃ as catalyst.

Keywords: 1,1-diacetates, aluminium chloride, aldehydes

1,1-Diacetates are stable, easily prepared and are gaining importance in synthetic organic chemistry as an alternative to acetals for aldehyde protection¹ and as starting materials for the Diels—Alder cycloaddition reaction.^{2,3} The preparation of 1,1-diacetates has been carried out with strong acids as sulfuric acid,⁴ phosphoric acid or methanesulfonic acid⁵ and Lewis acids such as FeCl₃⁶ and PCl₃⁷. The use of PCl3 gave good yields but the reaction times ranged from 1 to 120 hours and yields were poor for aromatic aldehydes containing electron-withdrawing groups. Zeolites^{8,9} of different types have also been used as catalysts for this reaction but require heating.⁸ More recently, montmorillonite clay,¹⁰ iodine,¹¹ expansive graphite¹² and ferrous sulfate¹³ have also been employed to obtain better results. However, development of efficient reagents which provide better yields is still of interest.

Even though numerous methods have been developed for the protection of aldehydes as their diacetates, a limited number of methods are available for the deprotection of 1,1diacetates to aldehydes. Strong acids such as: (1) sulfuric acid14 or HCl;15 (2) sodium hydroxide or potassium carbonate in aqueous THF overnight; 6 (3) boron tri-iodide-N,N-diethylaniline complex;¹⁶ (4) ceric ammonium nitrate coated on silica gel;¹⁷ (5) neutral alumina under microwave irradiation;¹⁸ and (6) montmorillonite clay, 19 in refluxing dichloromethane or benzene have been employed for the deprotection of 1,1diacetates. Each of the above methods has its disadvantages, method (1) may affect other functional groups, method (2) requires long reaction times, method (3) proceeds in low yields, (4) involves high cost, (5) requires the use of an additional microwave oven and (6) requires heating. Hence, there is a need to develop a facile alternate procedure for the deprotection of 1,1-diacetates to aldehydes at room temperature. Here, we report an efficient method for the conversion of aldehydes into their 1,1-diacetates and regeneration of aldehydes from the respective 1,1-diacetates at room temperature employing aluminium chloride.

RCHO
$$Ac_2O$$
, AlCl₃, r.t RCH(OAc)₂ AlCl₃, DCM, r.t 2

Thus aromatic, heterocyclic and aliphatic aldehydes of different types were subjected to protection with acetic anhydride in the presence of catalytic amount of anhydrous AlCl₃ at room temperature for different lengths of time. The corresponding

diacetates were obtained in good to excellent yields. The results are summarised in Table 1. It is noteworthy that the phenolic group in *m*-hydroxybenzaldehyde (entry 8) was also protected as acetate. All the products were purified either by crystallisation or distillation.

Deprotection of 1,1-diacetates of above aldehydes in presence of AlCl₃ in dichloromethane at room temperature afforded the respective aldehydes in good to excellent yields. The results are also summarised in Table 1. Further, deprotection of m-acetoxybenzaldehyde diacetate is achieved selectively without affecting the acetoxy group. In the case of aliphatic aldehyde diacetates (entries 13 and 14), decomposition was observed at 0°C due to their polymerisation in the presence of Lewis acid.²⁰ The present reaction conditions were utilised for the protection of various heterocylic aldehydes and for their regeneration which have not been reported previously. In conclusion, our protocol provides a new addition to the existing methodologies for protection of aldehydes and deprotection of aldehyde 1,1-diacetates in terms of easy availability of catalyst and a simple procedure at room temperatures.

Experimental

General procedure for the protection of aldehydes to diacetates (2): To a mixture of the aldehyde (1, 10 mmol) and Ac_2O (20 mmol), anhydrous $AlCl_3$ (2.5 mmol) was added portionwise over 10min. and the mixture was stirred at room temperature, under N_2 atmosphere for the time given in Table 1. The progress of the reaction was followed by TLC and upon completion of the reaction, it was worked up by adding water (15 ml) and chloroform (30 ml) to the reaction mixture. The organic layer was washed with 15% $NaHCO_3$ solution followed by water (3×15ml) and dried over Na_2SO_4 . Removal of the solvent under vacuum furnished the desired 1,1-dicetates (2). Further purification was achieved by distillation or recrystallisation.

3-formylchromone diacetate (entry 10): m.p. 121° C (CHCl₃-hexane). IR (KBr): 1760, 1650, 1570, 1400, 1190, 1010 cm¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃); δ 2.14 (s, 6H, COCH₃), 7.40–7.50 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.65–7.80 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.10 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.0Hz 1H, ArH); MS: m/z: 276, 191, 175(100), 146, 121, 104, 92, 76, 63, 43(100).

Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{12}O_6$: C, 60.86; H, 4.34. Found C, 60.55, H, 4.11. 5-Phenylpyran-4-one-3-carboxaldehyde diacetate (entry 11): m.p. $147^{\circ}C$ (CHCl₃– hexane). IR (KBr): 1755, 1650, 1365, 1320, 1190, 1000 cm⁻¹; ^{1}H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.12 (s, 6H, COCH₃), 7.30–7.60 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.70 (s, 1H, vinylic), 7.95 (s, 1H, vinylic), 8.00 (s, 1H); MS: m/z: 302, 217, 201, 199, 172, 115, 102, 89, 53, 43(100).

Anal: calcd for $\rm C_{16}H_{14}O_6$: C, 63.57; H, 4.63. Found: C, 63.86; H, 4.30. 5-Chloro-3-methyl-1-phenylpyrazol-4-carboxaldehyde diacetate (entry 12): m.p. 87°C (CHCl₃-hexane). IR (KBr): 1750, 1560, 1365, 1235, 1055, 1000cm⁻¹; $^{1}\rm H$ NMR (CDCl₃). d 2.15 (s, 6H, COCH3), 2.45 (s, 3H), 7.40–7.60 (m, 5H, ArH), 8.68 (s, 1H); MS: m/z: 322, 223, 222, 221(100), 155, 104, 77, 51, 43.

Anal. calcd for $C_{15}H_{15}ClN_2O_4$: C, 55.81; H, 4.65; N, 8.68. Found: C, 55.65; H, 4.91; N, 8.42.

^{*} To receive any correspondence. E-mail: sabitha@iict.ap.nic.in

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ This is a Short Paper, there is therefore no corresponding material in *J Chem. Research (M)*.

Table 1 Protection and deprotection of aldehydes by formation and cleavage of 1,1-diacetates catalysed by AICl₃

Entry	Aldehydes	Formation		b.p./torr or m.p./°C		Cleavage	
		Time /h	Yield /%ª	Found	Reported	Time /min	Yield /%
1	СНО	3	96	43–44	44–45 ⁶	60	94
2	МеО	1.5	78	67	67–68 ⁷	30	86
3	сно	2	88	80	79.5 ⁷	30	90
4	O ₂ N CHO	9	73	62–64	63–64 ⁵	30	90
5	О2ИСНО	8	71	124	125 ⁹	20	92
6	СНО	7	84	85	84–86 ⁷	15	79
7	СНО	1.5	81	77–78	75–76 ⁵	15	94
8	но	3	85	75–76	74–75 ⁵	15	92
9	Ско	1	93	66–67	67–68 ⁵	60	95
10	сно	2.5	92	121	(-) ^b	60	98
11	Ph	5	98	147	(-) ^b	60	87
12	CHO CHO	3	77	87	(-)b	60	89
13	Ph CHO	1	65	136–137/2mm	(-)b	Decomposition	
14	СНО	2	68	90–91/15	90–91/15	Decomposition	

^aAll products gave satisfactory spectral analysis for IR,¹ H NMR, and MS. Yields are all of isolated pure products.

Heptanal diacetate (entry 13): IR (KBr): 1760, 1055, 1000cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.9 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.2–1.5 (m, 8H), 1.9–2.0 (m, 2H), 2.1 (s, 6H, COCH₃), 6.4 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H). MS: m/z: 216, 173, 157(100), 131, 115, 103, 97, 89.

Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_{20}O_4$: C, 61.11; H, 9.20. Found: C, 61.35, H, 9.44.

General procedure for the cleavage of diacetates to aldehydes (1): A mixture of 1,1-diacetates (2, 2mmol) and anhydrous AlCl $_3$ (1mmol) in dichloromethane (5 ml) was stirred at room temperature under N_2 atmosphere for the time indicated in Table 1. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion, the reaction mixture was washed with water dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated to give the corresponding aldehyde (1).

One of the authors (SA) thank CSIR for the award of fellowship. This is IICT Communication No. 4045.

Received 27 June 2001; accepted 9 September 2001 Paper 01/957

References

- 1 T.W. Greene and P.G.M. Wuts, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, 3rd edn. John Wiley and Sons Inc, New York, 1999.
- 2 R.E. Banks, J.A. Miller, M.J. Nunn, B. Stanley, T.J.R. Weakley and Z. Ullah, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1., 1981,1096.
- 3 B.B. Sinder and S.G. Amin, Synth. Commun., 1978, 8, 117.

^bNew compound.

- 4 M.J. Gregory, J. Chem. Soc., B, 1970, 1201.
- F. Freeman and E.M. Karcherski, J. Chem. Eng. Data. 1977, 22, 355
- 6 K.S. Kochhar, B.S. Bal, R.P. Deshpande, S.N. Rajadhyaksha and H.W. Pinnick, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1983, **48**, 1765.
- 7 J.K. Michie and J.A. Miller, Synthesis, 1981, 824.
- 8 C. Pereira, B, Gignate, M.J. Marcclo Gurto, H. Carreyse, G. Perot and M. Guisnet, *Synthesis* 1955, 1077.
- 9 P. Kumar, V.R. Hegde and T.P. Kumar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 601.
- 10 Z.H. Zhang, T.S.Li and C.G. Fu, J. Chem. Res., 1997, 5, 174.
- N. Deka, D.J. Kalita, R. Borah and J.C. Sarma, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 62, 1563.
- 12 T.S. Jin, G.Y. Du, Z.H. Zhang and T.S. Li, Synth. Commun., 1977, 27, 2261.

- (a) T.S. Jin, Y.R. Ma, Z.H. Zhang and T.S. Li, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 1998, 30, 463;
 (b) V.K. Aggarwal, S. Fonquerna, G.P. Vennall, *Synlett.*, 1998, 849.
- 14 S.V. Lieberman and R. Connor, Org. Syn., 1951, Coll. Vol. 2, 441.
- 15 S.M. Tsang, E.H. Wood and J.R. Johnson, Org. Syn., 1955, 3, 641.
- 16 C. Narayana, S. Padmanabhan and G.W. Kabalka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, 31, 6977.
- 17 P. Cotelle and J.P. Catteau, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 33, 3835.
- 18 R.S. Varma, A.K. Chattergee and M. Varma, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 3207.
- T.S. Li, Z.H. Zhang and C.G. Fu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 3235.
- 20 S. Chandrasekhar, M. Takhi, R. Reddy, S. Mohapatra, C. Rama Rao and K.V. Reddy, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 14977.